

5-24-65

Was Byers, not?
Conant... right?
if A-bomb had not
been used, they
would have been
investigated, condemned,
impeached?!

Explanation could
not have protracted
this? (after water?
at some time?)

Once it's built —
or "option" —
or reason to use
it is good enough —
e.g. "justifies"
the expense of
building it which
creates a need to
use it.

A threat (or does)
(+ offer to Empress, + SU)
would force him a "use"
— "using water"

(tho~~gh~~ not a good
present!)

Would we have
been willing to offer
Emperor (after pop.
answer: 80)
without having used
the Bank?

(Better — if Bank
not available — and
80 entry to offer — be
lower Potters).

Try to end even
before Aug 15!

NPT

5-24-05

Counties that
do not put high
priority on N-P; *
and oppose measures
that would strengthen
N-P:

USA
RUSSIA
from

PAK
India
(Pakistan)

Japan
Israel

(* conform to: any
an option for new,
or for new meas

Vs "consensus"

(NPT)

"proving probif
is more important
than agreeing or
keeping or using
or increasing rules".

Vs: probif to finally
states is "as problem";
or not very important,
concerned to; or, "a solution,
desirable..."

"The only problem
is hard to understand
— and that's not my
specialty compared
to my other goals
in conflict with it
(e.g. recognition of
us, friends, or use
(favors, tents...))

15RAEL has word news!
('73; '67; so has Pat, ~~Patricia~~
in US: NR now; SH??"

Presently
Prolif to finally
(or US/NWS: intend
prolif)
does not have
a goal or an
important role —
though we prefer
that the ~~to~~ ^{newspaper}
not announce
a threat-power;
of building ^{a newspaper} and
trying openly

The NPT has
designated two:

in retri, not for
NWS' misment

(obviously not
pertaining, since

... 1969-70: (H/RV)

but 1) for help in
the form and
"approaching / uniting
NW cap. (form)
- made more acceptable
& expansion

2) discouraging
rievants from
testing (vis)
rves.

(interpretation of
them).

3) NSA (no longer
we've removed
that inserted —

hence, North Korea

Iran, Iraq

(very offend S.
Sarraf, a Pak.)

FU that has
always required
non-existence
of no one response;

ult. of these
cap-to-diss
(US/80)

That's why i.e. we
don't care, wrt
threat to US, if

4-22-05

For trade
globalization

How and when
Finland apply this
to ~~the~~ Pu or U₂₃₅??

(non-lesion)

or, "what technology"

Central to support
NPT and Nonproliferation
"without a threat"?

SV-type controls?

(NFO?)

Bricks

JRO represents the
best of America
and the worst.

Committee a year
after Harvard

O made the

anticipated a taught
H & N

O behind item was
as alt. to way back
than on invasion.

He blamed after
the war... that...

Edite me, wrt
30 minute gap!

He blamed:

"They say Yes without
examining the alternative."

Dr. M. J.

1949-50 propose a
test ban —

~~attack~~ or just,
refraining from a
crash development effort
(Mutual Assured
Stability)

an uninspectable
moratorium on research
& development of H —
but NFTest + NFCU (soon)
18 mos. delayed H (?)

Central 2x1 division
against VRO's security
clearance be over-
ruled?

on grounds of
illegalities

Straw's publication
of the transcript
ruined his career.

Ask authors:
Tell us the
cat you most
enjoyed?

if it were
50 pages longer...

(12,000 pages of FBI
file

I some refused
to talk — feared
a new McCARTHYISM
like all my
independent writers

Brotherhood of the
Bomb

1938-42

Heckman thinks

Oppie was in CP,
"special section"

S + B - communist -
regular entries as
circumstantial +
improbable.

S - FBI came out
concluded Oppie was
in CP. Churchill said

yes, "a closed
unit."

HC: "It was
anything you wanted
to make of it."

Who knew? "The
members

"It was up to Oppie
to decide whether
he was a Commit,
HC behind by was, and
that Oppie behind the
same - but Oppie always

denied it.

Did offer em
submit to Party
discipline? No.

On Opus & B.C.

James H. Joy

The Titan of J.

Robert Oppenheimer

Proceedings of the
American Philosophical
Society

vol. 144, no. 2,

June 2000

Office (British)

"Nets will make
war impossible."

Wrong. Litter
& bombs. (cw, BW)

As for Hatching,
MG, (crossbow)
dynamite.

There has never
been, ~~such a~~

nor will there ever
be, such a weapon.

Nor a weapon
too terrible to be
used produced
(See-9), medical,
therapeutic, surgical,
or steel.

for use by tanks,
or against humans.

Nor will there ever
be a war that will
end war.

Without us,
no country in
the world could
do to one American
city what we did
to every Japanese
& Chinese city in
WWII (or in
NVA or NK or
Afghan).

~~With~~ In this new
era, any country
invited over to the

that is an
enemy of —
or, a terrorist
group.

Our state is
hunting the
spread of the
mosquitoes
as well as fruits
(West ^{so} of Russia)
and rabbits
w/ + Arabs.

associates

against defenseless

(in one's own

country

or of air

(knows who
can kill
at a distance)

so
fear: and without fear

SIB.

A-God of metal

for

(though UK and

Germany still

export metal!)

UK welcomed!)

High priority
the anti-prolif.
all?
(most "kinds" of prolif
after China were
all friends!!)

(NOT SATELLITES)

(now:

(SA)
frogs
birds
tuna
NK
(~~Pak~~)

forest
fruits
Pak
Henry
Japan
(Sweden)
(tuna
frogs)

Pak

A.Q.

non-
detachable

Russia

Pak

tuna?

Problem now: